

## PRIMENESS IN NEAR-RINGS WITH MULTIPLICATIVE SEMI-GROUP SATISFYING ‘THE THREE IDENTITIES’

A. O. ATAGÜN and N. J. GROENEWALD

Department of Mathematics  
Bozok University  
66100, Yozgat  
Turkey  
e-mail: aosman.atagun@bozok.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics  
University of Porth Elizabeth  
6000  
South Africa

### Abstract

In this paper, the interconnections of completely prime, 3-prime and equiprime ideals are considered in right permutable, left permutable and medial near-rings. Some results for right self distributivity, left self distributivity and insertion factors in near-rings are given.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all near-rings are right near-rings. This paper considers primeness in near-rings with the multiplicative semi-group satisfying one of the following identities:

a.  $abc = acb$  (right permutable near-rings)

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y30.

Keywords and phrases: near-ring, 3-prime, equiprime, completely prime, permutability.

Received December 23, 2008

© 2009 Scientific Advances Publishers

- b.  $abc = bac$  (left permutable near-rings)
- c.  $abcd = acbd$  (medial near-rings).

Birkenmeier and Heatherly [6] called these “the three identities”. They developed a theory of rings satisfying the three identities [5]. A perusal of the near-ring literature reveals many types of near-rings which satisfy one of the three identities. Pilz [12] used the phrase “weakly commutative” for “right permutability” in near-rings. Near-rings which are both right permutable and left permutable are called permutable. Also playing a role in this paper are the identities:

- d.  $abc = acbc$  (right self-distributive (RSD))
- e.  $abc = abac$  (left self-distributive (LSD)).

Kepka [11] investigated semi-groups which are LSD and Birkenmeier et al. [3] studied LSD rings.

An ideal  $I$  of a near-ring  $N$  is called a completely prime ideal of  $N$  if whenever  $ab \in I$ , then  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ . The study of completely prime ideals in near-rings goes back at least to [13], where such an ideal is called a “prime ideal of type 2”. The ideal  $I$  is said to be completely semi-prime if  $a^2 \in I$  implies  $a \in I$ . In [13] Ramakotaiah and Rao defined the concept of a prime ideal of type 1. An ideal  $I$  of  $N$  ( $I \triangleleft N$ ) is prime of type 1 if for all  $x, y \in N$   $xNy \subseteq I$  implies  $x \in I$  or  $y \in I$ . Groenewald [8] used the phrase “3-prime ideal” for “prime ideal of type 1”. An ideal  $I$  is a 3-semiprime ideal if whenever  $xNx \subseteq I$ , then  $x \in I$ . Booth et al. [7] gave another generalization of prime rings which they called equiprimeness.  $P \triangleleft N$  is called equiprime if  $a, x, y \in N$   $anx - any \in P$  for all  $n \in N$  implies  $a \in P$  or  $x - y \in P$ . If  $P$  is equiprime, then it is 3-prime. If the zero ideal of  $N$  is 3-prime (resp. completely prime, equiprime), then we say  $N$  is a 3-prime (resp., completely prime, equiprime) near-ring.

Birkenmeier and Heatherly [4] showed that 3-prime (3-semiprime) ideals in an LSD or RSD near-ring are also completely prime

(completely semi-prime). In [6], these authors proved that 3-prime ideals in a medial near-ring are also completely prime.

The main aim of this paper is to find the conditions which satisfy 3-primeness implies equiprimeness in near-rings with the identities  $a, b, c, d$  and  $e$ .

For all undefined terms in near-rings, the reader may refer to Pilz [12].

## 2. Primeness in Near-Rings with Multiplicative Semi-Group Satisfying “The Three Identities”

In general, completely primeness doesn't imply equiprimeness. For example, if  $(N, +)$  is any cyclic group of prime order  $p(p > 2)$ , define  $ab = a$  if  $b \neq 0$  and  $ab = 0$  if  $b = 0$ , then  $N$  is a near-ring which is completely prime but not equiprime [7].

For a near-ring  $N$ , the distributive part of  $N$  is the set  $\{d \in N : d \text{ is distributive}\}$  and denoted by  $N_d$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $N$  be near-ring and  $P \triangleleft N$ . Then  $N_d P \subseteq P$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $n_d \in N_d$ . Then  $n_d 0 = n_d(0 + 0) = n_d 0 + n_d 0$ , i.e.,  $n_d 0 = 0$ . Since  $P \triangleleft N$ ,  $n_d p = n_d(p + 0) - n_d 0 \in P$  for every  $p \in P$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $N$  be a right permutable near-ring and  $P \triangleleft N$ . Then  $P$  is 3-prime if and only if  $P$  is completely prime.*

**Proof.** Note that for any near-ring a completely prime ideal is a 3-prime ideal. Assume  $N$  is right permutable,  $xy \in P$  and  $P$  is a 3-prime ideal. Then  $xyN^2 = xNyN \subseteq P$ . Now either  $x \in P$  or  $yN \subseteq P$ . If  $yN \subseteq P$ , then  $yNy \subseteq P$ . Hence  $y \in P$ . Thus  $P$  is completely prime.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $N$  be a right permutable near-ring and let  $P \triangleleft N$  be such that  $N_d \setminus P \neq \emptyset$ . If  $P$  is a completely prime ideal, then  $P$  is equiprime.*

**Proof.** Suppose that for  $a, x, y \in N$ ,  $anx - any \in P$  for every  $n \in N$ . Since  $N$  is right permutable, then  $anx - any = axn - ayn = (ax - ay)n \in P$ . Then  $ax - ay \in P$  or  $n \in P$ , since  $P$  is completely prime. If  $n \in P$ , then  $N = P$ , which is a contradiction of choice of  $P$  because  $N_d \setminus P \neq \emptyset$  and  $N_d \setminus P \subseteq N$ . Hence  $ax - ay \in P$ . By Lemma 2.1. for an  $n_d \in N_d \setminus P$ ,  $n_d(ax - ay) = n_dax - n_day \in P$ . Since  $N$  is right permutable,  $n_dax - n_day = n_dxa - n_dya = n_d(x - y)a \in P$ . Then  $n_d(x - y) \in P$  or  $a \in P$ , since  $P$  is completely prime. Since  $n_d \notin P$ ,  $x - y \in P$  or  $a \in P$ . Therefore  $P$  is an equiprime ideal of  $N$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $N$  be a right permutable near-ring and let  $P \triangleleft N$  be such that  $N_d \setminus P \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $P$  is 3-prime if and only if  $P$  is equiprime.*

**Proof.** Since every equiprime ideal is also 3-prime, the proof is seen from Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.3. is illustrated by the following example:

**Example** (cf. [1]). Let the additive group  $(\mathbb{Z}_6, +)$ . Under a multiplication given in the following table,  $(\mathbb{Z}_6, +, \cdot)$  is a right permutable near-ring. We say  $N = (\mathbb{Z}_6, +, \cdot)$ .

$\cdot$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	3	1	5	3	1	5
2	0	2	4	0	2	4
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	0	4	2	0	4	2
5	3	5	1	3	5	1

Let  $P = \{0, 3\}$ . Then  $P$  is an ideal of  $N$  and  $4 \in N_d \setminus P$ , i.e.,  $N_d \setminus P \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $N$  and  $P$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.3. It is seen that  $P$  is completely prime and equiprime.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $N$  be a left permutable near-ring and  $P \triangleleft N$  be such that  $NP \subseteq P$  (or  $P^2 = P$ ). Then  $P$  is 3-prime if and only if  $P$  is completely prime.*

**Proof.** Assume  $N$  is left permutable,  $xy \in P$  and  $P$  is a 3-prime ideal such that  $NP \subseteq P$ . Then  $Nxy = xNy \subseteq P$ . Thus  $P$  is completely prime (If  $P^2 = P$ , then for every  $n \in N$  and for every  $p \in P$ ,  $np = np_1p_2 = p_1np_2 \in P$ , i.e.,  $NP \subseteq P$ ).

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $N$  be a left permutable near-ring and let  $P$  be a proper ideal of  $N$ . If  $P$  is completely prime, then it is equiprime.*

**Proof.** For  $a, x, y \in N$ , assume  $anx - any \in P$  for all  $n \in N$ . Since  $N$  is left permutable, then  $nax - nay \in P$ . Let  $q \in N - P$ . Then  $(nax - nay)q = naxq - nayq = nxaq - nyaq = (nx - ny)aq \in P$ . Since  $N$  is completely prime and  $q \notin P$ , then  $(nx - ny)a \in P$ . Now either  $nx - ny \in P$  or  $a \in P$ . If  $nx - ny \in P$ , then  $(nx - ny)q = nxq - nyq = xnq - ynq = (x - y)nq \in P$ . Then  $(x - y)Nq \subseteq P$ . Since  $P$  is also 3-prime and  $q \notin P$ , then  $x - y \in P$ . Thus  $P$  is equiprime.

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $N$  be a left permutable near-ring and let  $P$  be a proper ideal of  $N$  such that  $NP \subseteq P$  (or  $P^2 = P$ ). Then  $P$  is 3-prime if and only if  $P$  is equiprime.*

**Proof.** It is seen from Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.6.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $N$  be a permutable near-ring and  $P \triangleleft N$ . Then  $P$  is 3-prime if and only if  $P$  is equiprime.*

**Proof.** For  $a, x, y \in N$ , assume  $anx - any \in P$  for all  $n \in N$ . Since  $N$  is permutable, then  $anx - any = axn - ayn = xan - yan = xna - yna = (x - y)na \in P$ . So  $(x - y)Na \subseteq P$ . Then either  $x - y \in P$  or  $a \in P$ . Thus  $P$  is equiprime, the converse is straightforward.

**Proposition 2.9** ([6, Proposition 2.7]). *Let  $N$  be a medial near-ring and  $P \triangleleft N$ . Then  $P$  is 3-prime if and only if  $P$  is completely prime.*

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $N$  be a medial near-ring and  $P \triangleleft N$  be such that  $N_d \setminus P \neq \emptyset$ . If  $P$  is completely prime, then it is equiprime.*

**Proof.** For  $a \in N - P$ ,  $x, y \in N$ , assume  $anx - any \in P$  for all  $n \in N$ . Let  $n_d \in N_d - P$ . Since  $N$  is medial and  $N_d P \subseteq P$  by Lemma 2.1, then  $n_d anx - n_d any = n_d nax - n_d nay \in P$ . Then,  $n_d naxn_d - n_d nayn_d = n_d nxan_d - n_d nyan_d = (n_d nx - n_d ny)an_d \in P$ . Since  $P$  is completely prime and  $an_d \notin P$ , then  $n_d nx - n_d ny \in P$ . Then  $n_d nxn_d - n_d nyn_d = n_d xnn_d - n_d ynn_d = n_d(x - y)nn_d \in P$ . Then  $n_d(x - y)Nn_d \subseteq P$ . Since  $P$  is completely prime and  $n_d \notin P$ , then  $x - y \in P$ . Therefore  $P$  is equiprime.

**Corollary 2.11.** *Let  $N$  be a medial near-ring and  $P \triangleleft N$  be such that  $N_d \setminus P \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $P$  is 3-prime if and only if  $P$  is equiprime.*

**Proof.** The proof is seen from Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.10.

$\beta_i(N)$  will denote the intersections of all  $i$ -prime ideals of  $N$  for  $i = 3, e = \text{equi}, c = \text{completely}$ . For more details on the prime radicals  $\beta_i(N)$ , the following papers: [2] and [9] are recommended. We have the following:

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $N$  be a zero-symmetric near-ring. If  $\beta_c(N)$  is a 0-prime ideal of  $N$ , then*

(a) If  $N$  is a right permutable (or medial) near-ring and there exists a completely prime ideal  $P$  of  $N$  such that  $N_d \setminus P \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\beta_e(N) \subseteq \beta_c(N) = \beta_3(N)$ .

(b) If  $N$  is a left permutable near-ring and  $N \neq P$  is a completely prime ideal of  $N$ , then  $\beta_e(N) \subseteq \beta_c(N) = \beta_3(N)$ .

(c) If  $N$  is a permutable near-ring, then  $\beta_e(N) = \beta_c(N) = \beta_3(N)$ .

**Proof. (a)** It is easily seen that  $\beta_c(N)$  is a completely semi-prime ideal of  $N$ . Under assumptions  $\beta_c(N)$  is a 0-prime ideal of  $N$ , then  $\beta_c(N)$  is a completely prime ideal of  $N$  from [9]. Since  $P$  is a completely prime ideal of  $N$  such that  $N_d \setminus P \neq \emptyset$  and  $\beta_c(N) \subseteq P$ , then  $N_d \setminus \beta_c(N) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\beta_c(N)$  is an equiprime ideal of  $N$  from Theorem 2.3 (for medial, from Theorem 2.10). Therefore  $\beta_e(N) \subseteq \beta_c(N)$ .  $\beta_c(N) = \beta_3(N)$  comes from Proposition 2.2 (for medial, from Proposition 2.9).

**(b)**  $\beta_c(N)$  is a completely prime ideal of  $N$  by the proof of (a). Since  $N \neq P$  is a completely prime ideal of  $N$  and  $\beta_c(N) \subseteq P$ , then  $\beta_c(N) \neq N$ . Hence  $\beta_c(N)$  is an equiprime ideal of  $N$  from Theorem 2.6, i.e.,  $\beta_e(N) \subseteq \beta_c(N)$ . Since  $N$  is a zero-symmetric near-ring, then  $N\beta_c(N) \subseteq \beta_c(N)$ . Therefore  $\beta_c(N) = \beta_3(N)$  by Proposition 2.5.

**(c)**  $\beta_c(N)$  is a completely prime ideal of  $N$  by the proof of (a). Hence the result follows from Proposition 2.8.

### 3. Insertion Factors, LSD and RSD Near-Rings

In a near-ring  $N$ , an element  $x \in N$  is called an insertion factor in  $N$  if for every  $a, b \in N$   $ab = axb$ . Throughout this section,  $\mathcal{I}$  denotes the set of all insertion factors in  $N$ . Every constant near-ring is an example of  $\mathcal{I} = N$ .

If  $n \in N$ , the annihilator of  $n$  is  $(0 : n) = \{x \in N : xn = 0\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $N$  be a near-ring.*

- (i) *If  $(0 : n) = 0$  for all  $n$  in an RSD near-ring  $N$ , then  $\mathcal{I} = N$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{I} = N$ , then  $N$  is both RSD and LSD.*
- (iii) *If  $N$  is RSD, then  $N$  has strong IFP property [4, Lemma 2.8].*
- (iv) *If  $N$  is RSD and simple, then  $\mathcal{I} = N$ .*
- (v) *If  $N$  is RSD and simple, then  $N$  is LSD.*

(vi) Let  $\mathcal{I} = N$  and  $P \triangleleft N$ . Then  $P$  is 3-prime if and only if  $P$  is completely prime.

**Proof.** (i) Assume  $N$  is RSD and for every  $n \in N(0 : n) = 0$ . Then for every  $a, b, x \in N$ ,  $abx = axbx$ . Then  $ab - axb \in (0 : x) = 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I} = N$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $\mathcal{I} = N$ . Then for every  $a, b, c \in N$   $ab = acb$ . Hence  $abc = acbc$ , i.e.,  $N$  is RSD. Similarly  $N$  is LSD.

(iv) If  $N$  is RSD and simple, then either  $(0 : n) = 0$  or  $(0 : n) = N$  for all  $n \in N$  as a result of (iii). If for all  $n \in N(0 : n) = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{I} = N$  by (i). If for all  $n \in N(0 : n) = N$ , then  $ab = 0 = anb$  for all  $a, b, n \in N$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I} = N$ .

(v) From (iv) and (ii).

(vi) Assume  $\mathcal{I} = N$ ,  $xy \in P$  and  $P$  is a 3-prime ideal. Then  $xy = xny \in P$  for every  $n \in N$ . So  $xNy \subseteq P$ . Thus  $P$  is completely prime. The converse is straightforward.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{I} = N$  and  $P$  an ideal of  $N$  such that  $N_d \setminus P \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $P$  is 3-prime if and only if  $P$  is equiprime.

**Proof.** Assume  $P$  is a completely prime ideal of  $N$  and for  $a \in N - P$ ,  $x, y \in N$   $anx - any \in P$  for every  $n \in N$ . Since  $N_d P \subseteq P$  by Lemma 2.1., then for  $n_d \in N_d - P$   $n_d anx - n_d any \in P$ . Since  $P \triangleleft N$ ,  $n_d anx n_d - n_d any n_d \in P$ . Since  $\mathcal{I} = N$ , then  $n_d anx n_d - n_d any n_d = n_d xanx n_d - n_d yany n_d \in P$ . By Lemma 3.1 (ii),  $N$  is LSD, then  $x(an)xn_d = x(an)n_d$  and  $y(an)yn_d = y(an)n_d$ . Then  $n_d anx n_d - n_d any n_d = n_d xanx n_d - n_d yany n_d = n_d xann_d - n_d ann_d = n_d(x - y)ann_d \in P$ . So  $n_d(x - y)an n_d \subseteq P$ . Since  $P$  is completely prime and  $a, n_d \notin P$ , then  $x - y \in P$ . Thus  $P$  is equiprime. The remain of the proof is seen from Lemma 3.1 (vi).



### References

- [1] A. O. Atagün, E. Aygün and H. Altanış, *S*-special near-rings, *J. Inst. Math. Comp. Sci. (Math. Ser.)* 19 (2006), 205-210.
- [2] G. Birkenmeier, H. Heatherly and E. Lee, Prime ideals and prime radicals in near-rings, *Mh. Math.* 117 (1994), 179-197.
- [3] G. Birkenmeier, H. Heatherly and T. Kepka, Rings with left self distributive multiplication, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 60 (1992), 107-114.
- [4] G. Birkenmeier and H. Heatherly, Left self distributive near-rings, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* 49 (1990), 273-296.
- [5] G. Birkenmeier and H. Heatherly, Medial rings and associated radical, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 40 (1990), 258-283.
- [6] G. Birkenmeier and H. Heatherly, Medial near-rings, *Mh. Math.* 107 (1989), 89-110.
- [7] G. L. Booth, N. J. Groenewald and S. Veldsman, A Kurosh-Amitsur prime radical for near-rings, *Comm. Algebra* 18 (1990), 3111-3122.
- [8] N. J. Groenewald, Different prime ideals in near-rings, *Comm. Algebra* 10 (1991), 2667-2675.
- [9] N. J. Groenewald, The completely prime radical in near-rings, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 51 (1988), 301-305.
- [10] N. J. Groenewald, Different Nil Radicals for near-rings, Submitted.
- [11] T. Kepka, Varieties of left distributive semigroups, *Acta Univ. Carolinae Math. et Phys.* 25 (1984), 3-18.
- [12] G. Pilz, *Near-Rings*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [13] D. Ramakotaiah and G. K. Rao, On IFP near-rings, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 27 (1979), 365-370.

